RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAR 0 8 2004

In re Application of Applicants:

Date: March 8, 2004

Beaman et al.

Group Art Unit: 2829

Serial No.: 09/382,834

Examiner: V. P. Nguyen

Filed: August 25, 1999

Docket No.: YO993-028BX

For: HIGH DENSITY INTEGRATED CIRCUIT APPARATUS,

TEST PROBE AND METHODS OF USE THEREOF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that Amendment (39 Pages) and Added Drawings (12 pages) are being facsimile transmitted to the LLS Patent and Trademark Office to (703) 872-9306 on March 8, 2004.

Dr. Daniel P. Morris, Esq.

Reg. No. 32,053

CORRECTED AMENDMENT

IN RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT 37 CFR 1,121 DATED FEBRUARY 6, 2004

In response to Office Action dated July 17, 2002, please consider the following:

03/11/2004 JRRTIS 00000003 090468 09382834

02 FC:1202

430.00 DA

468.00 DA · +

invention. Collins et al. teaches at Col. 3, line 68 to Col. 4, line 2 "The beams 18 elastically compress and <u>deform</u> due to axial force" (Emphasis added) and at Col. 5. lines 24-26 "The beam 18 is made of wire which elastically <u>deforms</u> and compresses when subjected to axial pressure" (Emphasis added.) and at Col. 6, lines 36-37 "when axial pressure is applied ... the beam 18 elastically compresses and <u>deforms</u>." (Emphasis added." Thus Collins et al teaches away from "compliantly responding" as recited in independent claim 29 and in amended independent claims 34,37, 42 and 43. "Deforming" as taught by Collins at al. is not "compliantly responding" as claimed by applicant.

In view of the changes to the claims and the remarks herein, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the above-identified application. If the Examiner wishes to discuss the application further, or if additional information would be required, the undersigned will cooperate fully to assist in the prosecution of this application.

✓ Please charge any fee necessary to enter this paper and any previous paper to deposit account 09-0468.

If the above-identified Examiner's Action is a final Action, and if the above-identified application will be abandoned without further action by applicants, applicants file a Notice of Appeal to the Board of Appeals and Interferences appealing the final rejection of the claims in the above-identified Examiner's Action. Please charge deposit account 09-0468 any fee necessary to enter such Notice of Appeal.

In the event that this amendment does not result in allowance of all such claims, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone interview at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

MPEP 713.01 states in part as follows:

Where the response to a first complete action includes a request for an interview or a telephone consultation to be initiated by the examiner, ... the examiner, as soon as he or she has considered the effect of the response, should grant such request if it appears that the interview or consultation would result in expediting the case to a final action.

Respectfully)submitted,

Dr. Bañiel P. Morris, Esq

Reg. No. 32,053

Phone No. (914) 945/3217

IBM Corporation Intellectual Property Law Dept. P. O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, New York 10598